

THE PROBLEM OF CHEMICAL ABORTION PILLS ... AND SFLA/SFLAction's SOLUTIONS

Kristi Hamrick
Vice President of Media & Policy
Chief Media & Policy Strategist
Students for Life of America

THE PROBLEM:

If you care about the issue of abortion, you need to care about chemical abortion pills. Surgical abortions as the leading cause of direct abortion deaths is over. Death by drugs is the present and future.

THESE PILLS KILL, BUT HOW MANY?

Even though we have no national abortion reporting law to verify abortion in America, the abortionists' lobby at [The Guttmacher Institute](#) tells us that RIGHT NOW, more than half of all abortions are committed with deadly pills. It's all trust, but NEVER verify with abortionists ... and if anyone ever tells you abortion is safer than childbirth, call their bluff ... **That's as accurate as comparing the number of unicorns to horse ... one of those numbers don't exist.** Planned Parenthood has taken states to court for decades to stop reporting laws – It's 'Trust but Never Verify' with them.

No National Reporting Law

In the U.S., [it's a two-pill cocktail](#) used to cause preborn infant death, which was first known in the U.S. by the name RU-486. The first pill starved the baby and was created as a "non-pregnancy" pill ... it blocks progesterone, the pregnancy hormone. The second pill causes contractions.

This deadly combination was developed by the French company Roussel Uclaf, which literally [connected to the Nazis, as detailed in a report in The Federalist.](#)

On the Nazis (If we go that direction)

In the early to mid-20th century, **the pharmaceutical holding company I.G. Farben Chemical Company** controlled much of the German chemical industry. According to [Encyclopedia Britannica](#), the infamous Auschwitz was one of I.G. Farben's very own chemical plants, responsible for the slavery and [deaths](#) of more than a million people in World War II. ... "After the war, Western countries attempted to utterly splinter I.G. Farben's industrial power but [divided](#) the holding company into three of its own industrial members, Hoechst, Bayer, and BASF.

“In 1974, the first of these three entities, Hoechst, [gained](#) a majority share of the holding company Chimio that controlled a French pharmaceutical company called Roussel Uclaf. By 1982, Roussel Uclaf had [developed](#) the RU-486 chemical abortion drug mifepristone.” And Bill Clinton cut the deal with them to bring the pills to the U.S., detailed well in a 98-page Harvard University paper, [The Life of the Abortion Pill in the United States](#).

The New York Times [recently profiled the “father” of the abortion pill](#): Dr. Étienne-Émile Baulieu.

His goal was ending life with pills – it wasn’t an accident or side effect; this wasn’t made as an arthritis or stomach pill ... it was always about abortion. Dr. Baulieu made a faux progesterone, an impostor to latch onto progesterone receptors so the real hormone could not.

CORPORATE ABORTION LOVES THIS:

They can cost brick-and-mortar costs ... personnel costs ... testing costs ... tissue disposal costs ... outsource all problems to emergency rooms ... and that’s what’s happening.

Given current trends, chemical abortion pills may soon cause more than 90 % of all abortions in the U.S.

- Right now, the FDA says don’t use the pills [past 10 weeks](#).
- Right now, Planned Parenthood says it’s safe to sell the pills [to 11 weeks](#).
- Right now, there is a push to sell these drugs to [12 weeks \(and even beyond\)](#). The World Health Organization supports the pills to 12 weeks.
- Right now, [more than 9 in 10 abortions \(92.7%\)](#) take place by 12 weeks – that’s more than 90 % of all abortions.
- Right now, [three-quarters of abortions in Europe](#) are committed with chemical abortion pills, according to *the New York Times*, and it can be more. [As an NIH report](#) notes that countries like Finland use chemical abortion pills 97.7% of the time, and in Sweden, the pills are used more than 96.4%.

Do we have the math of the number of abortions?

We have a book with women’s stories talking about the pain, the weeks of bleeding, the trauma of fully formed babies, sometimes born alive. One woman said: I wish they told me these pills wouldn’t end the baby’s life. It came out in the sack, with all the limbs and the eyes ... heart still beating. If I knew that would be the outcome, I would’ve never done it.”

In the [Washington Post](#), it was reported that one abortionist literally sends acid along to get rid of the babies’ bodies ... this is an up close and horrifying event.

The health risks warning is one like the Surgeon General's warning.

We know these pills are dangerous. They expose women to injury, infertility, and even death, as well as to abusers, using the pills without women's knowledge or consent.

The FDA [reports](#) numerous side effects. Between [5 and 7 percent](#) of women who take chemical-abortion pills will need a follow-up surgery to end the pregnancy. And an Australian study noted that up to [8 percent](#) of women who took the pills in that country ended up in an emergency room. The abortion industry reported similar math.

An NIH-published study from Finland (because we don't check on women's health in the U.S. related to abortion) titled: [Immediate complications after medical compared with surgical termination of pregnancy](#) found that the rate of complications was FOUR TIMES HIGHER, including things like hemorrhaging and incomplete abortions needing follow up care, like an abortion surgery.

Another NIH-published study titled [Mifepristone-Misoprostol Medical Abortion Mortality](#) reported that while they supported chemical abortion pills, those selling pills to women should "Inform them that the risk of death appears to be 10 times greater with medical abortion than with surgical abortion."

Another issue for women is HOW MUCH BLOOD IS TOO MUCH BLOOD? (I've had bleeding in three of four pregnancies and each time sought help. There is NO SUCH THING as DIY, self-care abortions unless you're also a DIY physician.

In [a Charlotte Lozier study](#), they found that "(t)he rate of abortion-related **emergency room visits following a chemical abortion increased over 500%** from 2002 through 2015, according to an analysis of Medicaid claims data. Over the same period, chemical abortions within the study population increased from 4.4% to 34.1% of total abortions" ... and now it's more than HALF!

Many women [don't know how far they are in a pregnancy](#), and it matters. Chemical-abortion pills don't work well [later in pregnancy](#) or when a woman has an [ectopic pregnancy](#) (one that takes place outside the womb), which affects up to [2 percent of women](#). Half of those experiencing that life-threatening condition will have [no risk factors](#). The FDA also [notes](#) that such pills present a risk of death from internal bleeding caused by a ruptured ectopic pregnancy.

Without proper treatment, women also face an extreme risk of [infertility](#) after using chemical-abortion pills if they have an Rh-negative blood type, which includes [15 percent](#) of the population. When a pregnant, Rh-negative woman experiences blood contact, such as in birth or abortion, she must urgently receive a shot of [Rh immunoglobulin](#) to neutralize deadly antibodies. Without that, miscarriages can bring future heartache as a [mother's body attacks](#) future pregnancies.

Do we have an infertility one?

Women have died when chemical abortion pills were given later in pregnancy or to those with an ectopic pregnancy ... If you cared to stop that, you would do an ultrasound beforehand for diagnosis ... and after to ensure that nothing remained because that can lead to infection, which also has killed women.

If you cared to protect women's future fertility, you would do a blood test and provide Rhogam to prevent the deadly antibodies from forming that can attack future pregnancies, leading to endless miscarriages. [You must receive that shot fast](#) – within 72 hours of a blood exchange through miscarriage, birth, or abortion. And you also get one during pregnancy.

... I'm Rh-negative and have received multiple shots of Rhogam, including when at 7 months along in one of my four pregnancies, I was rear-ended. They even gave me a shot then as a precaution. Shouldn't caution with women's health be the least we can expect?

If you cared about women, you wouldn't create the sex trafficker's and abuser's dream drug. Women have been given chemical abortion pills without their knowledge or consent ... something that could be prevented with an in-person consultation verifying that a pregnant mother wants the drugs and is not being coerced.

THEN WE GET TO ONLINE DISTRIBUTION THROUGH INTERNATIONAL ABORTION VENDORS AND AN EMERGING DRUG CARTEL. They often sell just ONE pill, something detailed [by the Washington Post](#) in an article titled *Covert network provides pills for thousands of abortions in U.S. post-Roe*

RECENTLY a study reported that the pills coming into the U.S. from some foreign outlets were just junk. [Bloomberg Law reported](#) that mega-donors like the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and DKT International had helped fund a chemical abortion pill manufacturer turning out garbage ... “ **But almost one-fifth of the 30 million products DKT distributes annually for abortions and postpartum hemorrhage prevention come from an Indian company with a record of making substandard medicine.**”

WHEN YOUR GOAL IS TO KILL ONE OF THE PATIENTS, QUALITY DOESN'T SEEM MUCH OF A CONSIDERATION.

(Possible sidenote – if the first pill knocked out by ADF/SFLA ... will a protocol with the second work ... NO)

ONE PILL IS BAD NEWS FOR WOMEN.

[As SFLA notes on our blog:](#) The Charlotte Lozier Institute recently released a [new report](#) by Senior Fellow and Director of Medical Affairs Ingrid Skop (M.D., F.A.C.O.G.) which is entitled, “Induced Abortion with Misoprostol Alone.” The report detailed exactly why the one-pill [Chemical Abortion](#) regimen is such a killer for women, noting the abortion lobby's disregard for women's health, as well. (As a

refresher, [Misoprostol](#) causes the body to go into labor to expel a preborn child. [Mifepristone](#), the drug that is paired with it in the two-pill chemical abortion regimen, stops the production of the hormone progesterone, which ends up starving and killing a preborn baby.) THIS ONE-PILL FORMAT FAILED ABOUT ONE IN FOUR TIMES ... and yet, sales are booming, they say.

“Evidence from around the globe demonstrates that misoprostol alone is a poor abortifacient and very likely to cause injury to women...As an example of how poorly misoprostol alone functions to cause abortion, a 2010 study comparing standard mifepristone/misoprostol with misoprostol alone documented that using misoprostol only to induce an abortion **led to a 23.8% failure rate requiring surgery**. The embryo/fetus continued to survive in 16.6% of the pregnancies, and misoprostol is known to produce birth defects such as Moebius Sequence, associated with craniofacial and limb abnormalities, leaving these children at risk if the pregnancy continued to birth. In contrast, there were 3.5% failures and 1.5% continuing pregnancies in the mifepristone/misoprostol group.

“Similarly, a 2013 study demonstrated a failure rate of **38.8% when misoprostol alone was used vaginally and 29.8% when used sublingually (under the tongue)**. Finally, a worldwide systematic review of more than 12,000 misoprostol abortions performed by abortion advocacy researchers found 22% (nearly one in four) required surgical completion because misoprostol failed to completely empty the uterus of the remains of the child.”

EVEN IF YOU SUPPORT ABORTION – AND WE DON’T – THAT’S NOT AN ARGUMENT FOR NO TEST, ONLINE DISTRIBUTION OF DEADLY CHEMICAL ABORTION PILLS AS SET BY THE BIDEN ADMINISTRATION.

What’s up in the Biden Administration?

But consider this -- Even during the height of the Supreme Court’s abortion decision *Roe v. Wade* that, now overturned, one means of abortion – partial birth abortion – was ended because of its barbaric nature and its harms to women. [Gonzales v. Carhart](#) made it clear that even among abortions, some are more horrific than others.

THAT REASONING APPLIES TO CHEMICAL ABORTION PILLS.

There is no social good in allowing women to be harmed to make it easier for abortionists to make a profit ... which is what our current situation allows ... Abortionists can cut cuts but cutting testing, staff, brick-and-mortar locations, disposal of the baby’s body, requirements, Rhogam ... et al.

Chemical abortion pills are being handled in a negligent and dangerous way ... thanks to the Administrations first of Bill Clinton, then Barack Obama, and now Joe Biden.

Dropping health and safety standards is a boon to abortionists and puts abortion in the back pocket of every teenager through their phone.

During the Covid-19 crisis, Planned Parenthood announced that it would have the capacity to do “telehealth services” [in all 50 states](#) ... and they are not the only virtual abortion vendor.

Biden’s nefarious team ... all those guys together.

The policies of the Biden Administration indicate that they don’t care who else is hurt if a preborn baby also dies.

Consider this ... on the day that the FDA pulled a Johnson and Johnson COVID vaccine because ONE WOMAN had died, we learned they were dropping health and safety standards on chemical abortion pills even though now almost 30 women are confirmed dead by the FDA. ([From the W. Times](#)) In February 2022, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an official warning to consumers about Abbott brands of baby formula following a possible contamination at a factory that might have been linked to some illnesses or deaths – and suddenly no more baby food.

This summer, the FDA denied JUUL authorization to market e-cigarettes even though “the FDA has not received clinical information to suggest an immediate hazard.” The possibility of harm was enough justification to act... unless the concerns are about abortion.

POSSIBLE SIDENOTE:

I’ve been fighting against these pills for years, starting during the Clinton Administration. We’ve been fighting the expanded use of these pills at Students for Life since the state of California moved to force them onto all publicly funded college and university campuses ... something that will start as early as this year.

It’s going to take all of us and a lot of tools to end this ... At Students for Life of America/Students for Life Action, we have some innovative programming and a truly new idea:

We need outreach to the women being targeted ... so we created the THIS IS CHEMICAL ABORTION website, with videos, educational tools and media campaigns.

We do outreach to women on campuses and through STANDING WITH YOU.

We’ve been working in legislatures at the state and federal levels to either limit the pills outright, just like we did with Partial Birth Abortion... or with the kind of regulation that will allow women a better survival rate.

But it's going to take more ... and we're going to have to go to court, where the abortion lobby loves to play.

IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN ENOUGH THAT CHEMICAL ABORTION PILLS CAN KILL WOMEN, STERILIZE THEM AND MAKE THEM VULNERABLE TO ABUSE ... BUT THAT HASN'T BEEN ENOUGH.

AND THAT LEADS US TO A NEW IDEA ... ONE THAT WE ARE GOING TO USE TO SAVE THE PLANET AS WELL AS THE PEOPLE ON IT.

A very Erin Brockovich – Ben Stiller – Jack Black kind of tool.

WHAT'S IN THE WATER???? JACK BLACK/BEN STILLER/JULIA ROBERTS

In the comedy movie ENVY, two midlevel, bored employees – Black and Stiller – are best friends and neighbors. Jack Black invents a product called VA-POO-RIZE that makes dog poop disappear and becomes a billionaire ... but it all falls apart when people start asking, Where does the poop go? It went into the ground and groundwater ... as basically a poison ... killing Jack Black's horse, which Stiller buried in his pool ... it's a comedy.

That was fiction.

But in the movie on Erin Brockovich, a real-life story, a single mother uncovers corporate dumping that has been killing their employees and people in the community ... leading to multi-million-dollar settlements ... and again, the issue was what was in the water.

Those movies got me thinking about abortion ... and WHAT IS IN THE WATER? People have dealt with Infant Dignity Laws for years -- [Indiana in particular](#) fighting to the Supreme Court -- because we know human beings should not be treated as trash ... but what if they are flushed away?

We've had more than 30 years of corporate-sanctioned dumping and not a single U.S. government-sponsored test to check on whether chemical abortion pills are Harming aquatic life, animal life, and the environment.

The pills can harm people, animals, and the earth.

In 1996, the abortion zealot at the Population Council submitted a report saying there was no environmental impact to speak of unless you count a little littering.

The FDA rubber-stamped that, and it's been "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" ever since.

Starting more than 6 years ago in California, which has some of the strictest water regulations in the country, the abortion extremists three times fought to get abortion pills

on campus. Kristan Hawkins and others from SFLA raised the question then about the environmental impact – which the bill sponsor at the time ignored, saying basically, I'm sure the experts will figure that out.

Well, we can tell you, they haven't ... yet.

Now, I didn't say there was NO EVIDENCE ... I said that our government has not required full testing.

NO TEST, ONLINE DISTRIBUTION OF CHEMICAL ABORTION PILLS WAS SET UP DURING THE BIDEN ADMINISTRATION.

The ultrasound screenings et al. ...gone ... and now, we have government-sanctioned, corporate-dumping of medical waste into our water supply.

Despite the attacks on everything from cars to gas stoves, to lightbulbs .. all in the name of the environment

[NEWSWEEK](#): Yet there is one industry that can enjoy government-sanctioned dumping of a potential hazard—and is often spared the requirements of state laws as "[a judicially favored industry](#)." That industry is corporate abortion, and the potential hazard is the chemically tainted blood, placenta tissue, and human remains resulting from the use of abortion pills that have been flushed into America's wastewater system for more than 20 years.

Given the necessity of protecting the nation's water from harmful pollutants, Students for Life of America has been filing a [series of Citizen Petitions](#) with the [FDA](#), including calling for [red bag medical waste disposal](#) of human remains, which [can be an issue when flushed away](#). That protocol requires that potentially harmful materials be collected and returned for disposal in accordance with state laws.

"Home-based health care can create medical waste, which can be hazardous if not disposed of properly. Inappropriate medical waste disposal can pose harmful environmental concerns and significant health risks to the public," says the [American Academy of Family Physicians](#). "The importance of routine medical waste disposal and destruction practices should be stressed at all city and county levels of collection."

When then-President Bill Clinton forced chemical abortion pills onto the U.S. market, strong assurances were made about how the pills would be used and how they would impact both women and the environment. (Though little was said about the latter at that time.)

Associated Press Article: FDA Approves Abortion Pill, Thursday, Sept. 28, 2000; 11:57 a.m. EDT, by AP Medical Writer Lauren Neergaard. The article includes many assurances and descriptions of health and safety standards that are all gone today.

Chemical abortion pills were politicized from day one, as our then president and so-called health experts and HHS and the FDA pressured a foreign company to bring deadly drugs to the U.S. when that company was reluctant.

A 98-page Harvard University paper, [The Life of the Abortion Pill in the United States](#), details the political pathway that the drug combination used in chemical abortion pills took to arrive in the U.S., a path so complicated that in the end, the manufacturer of the drug “Roussel-Uclaf granted all of the pill’s patent rights and technology, for use in the United States, to the Population Council without remuneration. In return, Roussel-Uclaf rid itself of any liability from product liability claims.” This was accomplished by intense political pressure – not women’s need. In fact, “(a)lthough it took a long year of negotiations, President Clinton, the Department of Health and Human Services, and the FDA succeeded in their efforts to encourage Roussel-Uclaf to help make medical abortion with mifepristone a reality for American women.”

What you didn’t hear much about was how chemical abortion pills, along with the human remains and tissue flushed into our waterway systems, would impact the environment.

At the time, the current scope of the chemical abortion pill market [was not anticipated as](#) “(t)he potential market, as compared to other drugs, was small, in the early nineties.”

In a 4-page summary report, with 2 pages being cover pages, the FDA noted “FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT.”

In fact, the FDA did NOT even do its own homework on the Environment Impact – they took the word of the Abortion Industry’s Population Council that there was nothing to see here except maybe littering of the packaging. It was another Clinton “Don’t ask, don’t tell.”

[From the 1996 report:](#) “The Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) has carefully considered the potential environmental impact of this action and has concluded that this action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment and that an environmental impact statement, therefore will not be prepared.

“In support of their new drug application for Mifepristone tablets, [The Population Council has prepared an environmental assessment](#) in accordance with 21 CFR 25.3a (attached) which evaluates the potential environmental impacts of the manufacturer, use, and disposal of the product.

“Mifepristone is a synthetic drug which will be administered orally to provide a medical approach to the termination of early pregnancy. Mifepristone may enter the environment from the excretion by patients, from the disposal of pharmaceutical waste, or from emissions from manufacturing sites. [The Center for Drug Evaluation](#)

and Research has concluded that the product can be manufactured, used, and disposed of without any expected adverse environmental effects.”

How did they conclude this? Where are the tests?

In 1996, the FDA Environmental Impact report said that there would be high standards for disposal, though the focus was primarily on the drug itself, not the human tissue and human remains, which are to be flushed into the wastewater system.

From the 1996 FDA report on disposal locations, in accordance with the Center for Disease Control, guidelines for handling hazardous waste would be followed in clinics or healthcare provider offices. ***“The applicant will use a licensed incineration or grinding and landfill facility to dispose of this type of material.” Emphasis added.***

The chemical abortion pill market is exploding, resulting in medical waste in our water systems. This is a NATIONAL crisis as today, Corporate Abortion admits that more than half of all abortions are committed with chemical abortion pills.

- The actual percentage of how many abortions are committed with chemical abortion pills is questionable as we don't have a [National Abortion Reporting law](#), so we are asked to take their word for it. States don't report uniformly, and some report nothing at all. Then we have the chaos of online purchases, without real reporting, with chemical abortion pill vendors located internationally.
- But consider this, given current trends, chemical abortion pills may soon cause more than 9 in 10 abortions.
 - Right now, the FDA says don't use the pills [past 10 weeks](#).
 - Right now, Planned Parenthood says it's safe to sell the pills [to 11 weeks](#).
 - Right now, there [is a push for FDA approval](#) to sell these drugs to [12 weeks \(and even beyond\)](#).
 - Right now, [more than 9 in 10 abortions \(93.1%\)](#) take place by 12 weeks.
 - Right now, [three-quarters of abortions in Europe](#) are committed with chemical abortion pills, according to *the New York Times*. It can be more, [as an NIH report](#) notes that countries like Finland use chemical abortion pills 97.7% of the time, and in Sweden, the pills are used more than 96.4%.

Consider that the abortion industry's advice on this is to allow the process of the pills to finish in the toilet ... but it doesn't disappear ... it goes somewhere ... into America's Waste Water System. When you consider EPA standards for what not to flush, people seem like a logical thing to include.

The only things you can safely flush are the 3 Ps: [Pee, Poo, and Paper.](#)

In fact, “the [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency \(EPA\)](#) is encouraging all Americans to only flush toilet paper.”

The EPA is very direct [on how to “protect local waterways”](#) by not flushing the wrong things. “What happens to the treated water when it leaves the wastewater treatment plant? The treated wastewater is released into local waterways where it’s used again for any number of purposes, such as supplying drinking water, irrigating crops, and sustaining aquatic life.”

[Medications](#) & chemicals cause problems. The EPA notes that such things can [impact the fertility](#) of animals and fish.

As Students for Life of America, President Kristan Hawkins noted in a 2020 letter to then FDA Commissioner Stephen Hahn, a re-evaluation of the environmental impact of the volume of human remains is needed, given the current status.

Hawkins wrote: “During the approval process for RU-486, an environmental impact study for the drugs focused on the impact of packaging for the drugs, rather than on the impact of human remains in our wastewater system and groundwater. Today, with so many lives ending by such chemical abortion pills, it’s vital to reopen an inquiry into the environmental impact on our water and land as so many human beings are being flushed away.

When you consider [that the Environmental Protection Agency](#) recommends against flushing tampons to preserve the environment and water safety, how much more significant is disposing of human remains through the wastewater systems across America?”

The need for safe drinking water, among other environmental priorities, impacts everyone, leading the United States to create agencies such as the [Environmental Protection Agency \(EPA\)](#) and the [Food and Drug Administration \(FDA\)](#). However, as the EPA notes, STATES lead the way.

EPA: [Who Regulates Medical Waste?](#) **Since the 1988 Medical Waste Tracking Act Expired in 1991**, “Medical waste is primarily regulated by state environmental and health departments. EPA has not had authority, specifically for medical waste, since the Medical Waste Tracking Act (MWTa) of 1988 expired in 1991. It is important to contact your state environmental program first when disposing of medical waste. Contact your [state environmental protection agency](#) and your [state health agency](#) for more information regarding your state's regulations on medical waste.

“Other federal agencies have regulations regarding medical waste. These agencies include the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and potentially others.”

Mishandling Human Remains and Medical Waste can lead to severe consequences. Those negative consequences can impact animals, fish, and people.

The [World Health Organization](#) notes: “The disposal of untreated health care wastes in landfills can lead to the contamination of drinking, surface, and ground waters if those landfills are not properly constructed.”

The [American Academy of Family Physicians](#), in discussing medical waste disposal in non-medical locations, notes: “Home-based health care can create medical waste which can be hazardous if not disposed of properly. Inappropriate medical waste disposal can pose harmful environmental concerns and significant health risks to the public, which include but are not limited to potential water contamination, inadvertent sharp-stick injuries, and toxic exposure to pharmaceutical products. The AAFP encourages practices to keep all medical and non-medical waste separate to avoid contamination and to facilitate the safe disposal of all medical waste. The importance of routine medical waste disposal and destruction practices should be stressed at all city and county levels of collection.”

In medical settings, the generator of medical waste is responsible for getting rid of human tissue or remains. Consider that if a limb were amputated, they don't send you home with a leg in a bag to take care of elsewhere. The medical practitioner that began the chain of events leading to the tissue is responsible for its proper disposal.

Medical waste, according to the EPA: “Medical waste is a subset of wastes generated at health care facilities, such as hospitals, physicians' offices, dental practices, blood banks, and veterinary hospitals/clinics, as well as medical research facilities and laboratories. Generally, medical waste is healthcare waste that may be contaminated by blood, body fluids, or other potentially infectious materials and is often referred to as regulated medical waste.”

The [EPA notes in model guidelines](#) that the generator of medical waste has responsibility for its disposal. Blood and human remains would usually be handled by incineration or a process of cleansing the material before disposal.

WHAT CAN WE DO: (this will change based on current events)

SFLA has filed four citizen petitions with the FDA

- 1. Red Bag Medical Waste**
- 2. REMS**
- 3. Endangered Species**
- 4. Clean Water Act – Recreational Water**

Legislation: Red Bag Medical Waste/Protecting Life on College Campuses/Appropriations and the FDA re: evaluation ... At the states, with Wyoming a great example ... and WV/Idaho put forth Red Bag Medical Waste.

Wyoming: SFLAction's cutting-edge legislation to combat the distribution of deadly Chemical Abortion Pills was signed into law, making it the first of its kind in the nation.

The pills that are negatively impacting the unborn, women, and the environment are directly addressed through our bill with State Senator Tim Salazar. **SF 109, "Prohibiting Chemical Abortions,"** will be a deterrent for Wyoming physicians to prescribe the deadly pills as it imposes a heavy \$9,000 fine or up to six months of jail time for violators.

Congress: FDA oversight ... PROTECT THE COMSTOCK ACT. Federal Protections ... end payments of all kinds ... (AG Bill)

AGs: Consumer Protection/Water Regs/etc.